Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/035,472	BROSHEARS, LORETTA S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JONATHAN ML FOREMAN	3736	

All Participants:

(1) *JONATHAN ML FOREMAN*.

(2) *Loretta S. Broshears*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 4,046,139 to Horn in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,830,022 to Harshe et al.

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 4,046,139 to Horn and U.S. Patent No. 4,830,022 to Harshe et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments were discussed to overcome the prior art. Applicant agreed to the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/J. M. F./
Examiner, Art Unit 3736

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)